

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,746	12/21/2001	James Hongxue Wang	KCC-15,796	3698	
35844	7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON			KIDWELL, MICHELE M		
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3761		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	0
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	10/036,746	WANG ET AL.
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michele Kidwell	3761
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the tollor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	t, affidavit, or other evidence, which
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		familia da
<ul> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>	later than SIX MONTHS from the m	ionn in the final rejection, whichever is later. In alling date of the linal rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f)	THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see ow);	NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		•
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1 would be allowant</li> </ul>		
allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-56. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 57-60,63,65,67,68,70,71,75,76,78-80 and the claim content of the	vided below or appended.	will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 61.62.64.66.72-7 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>4,77,81-86 and 97-116</u> .	•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing ad sufficient reasons why the aff	a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered lidavit or other evidence is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not carlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant falls to provide a  1. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by Scc Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pag	per No(s)
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Michele Kidwell Primary Examinor Art Unit: 3761

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060820

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/036,746

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains that the manner by which the superabsorbent is incorporated into the surge material is a product by process limitation.

Continuation of 13. Other: A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP 821.01..